

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO Ν 08/10/98 KOITABASHI 884.2742 09/131,744 **EXAMINER** 005514 IM22/0410 GRENDZYNSKI, M FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112 16 1774 DATE MAILED: 04/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/131,744 Applicant(s)

Koltabashi et al.

Examiner

Grendzynski, Michael E.

Group Art Unit 1774



This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	thirty days, whichever is
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or	thirty days, whichever is
application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claim	•
X Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) <u>8, 9/2, and 10</u> is/ard	e withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9/1</u>	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims are subject to res	triction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/131,744

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Newly submitted claim 10 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally

claimed for the following reasons: The newly submitted claim is directed to an apparatus. The apparatus as claimed can

be used to practice another and materially different process, e.g., a process in which two ink materials (and no processing

liquid) are applied. (MPEP § 806.05(e)).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has

been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 10 is withdrawn

from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

2. A substitute specification excluding claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the interlineations

or cancellations made in the specification or amendments to the claims could lead to confusion and mistake during the

issue and printing processes.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original

specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains

additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be

accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy

showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute

specification is filed.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/6/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

4. Claims 1-7 and 9/1 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants argue that the phrase "processing liquid" is not ambiguous "since one of ordinary skill in the art would know what is meant by this term." It remains the examiner's position that this phrase does not have a well-defined meaning in the art, and that, as applicants define the phrase, it includes only processing liquids that are achromatic. It is suggested that applicants provide evidence of the known meaning for this phrase, as it is understood in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 5. Claim 1 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shioya or Yokoi for the reasons of record. Applicants argue that the cited references do not show that proper selection of the ink K_a and processing liquids K_a can produce a smear-resistant, high-density image. This argument is not found persuasive because where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover workable ranges by routine experimentation, absent unexpected results. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456 (1955). Both Shioya and Yokoi disclose the general conditions of instant claims, as stated in the Office Action of August, 2000. Prevention of bleeding by controlling rates of diffusion, moreover, is a *conventional concern in the art*. Its ability to control bleeding would be readily appreciated by one skilled in the art. It is recommended that applicants provide further evidence distinguishing the instant invention over the prior art.
- 6. For similar reasons, claims 1-7 and 9/1 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shioya or Yokoi, as applied to claim 1, above, in further view of Inui, for the reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/131,744

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing

date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and

the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Should you have any questions concerning this communication, please direct them to Michael E. Grendzynski

at telephone number (703) 305-0593. The examiner can be reached at this number from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday-

Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone prove unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly,

can be reached at (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

A facsimile center has been established for Group 1700 on the 8th floor of Crystal Plaza 3. The hours of

operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 am to 4:45 pm. The fax numbers for Art Unit 1774 are (703) 305-3599 for

official after final faxes, and (703)305-5408 for all other official faxes. Use of the Group 1700 center will facilitate rapid

delivery of materials to examiners in Art Unit 1774.

Any inquiry of a general nature, or those relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Michael E. Grendzynski

Assistant Examiner

April 5, 2001

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bue Joseph

Page 4